## For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	CITIBANK N.A.,	No. C 12-03031 WHA
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	NOTICE RE RECENT FILINGS
10	DEBORAH A. MATTHEWS,	(DKT. NOS. 23, 24)
11	Defendant.	
12		/

On September 17, 2012, an order issued remanding this action to the Superior Court of Alameda County due to lack of federal subject-matter jurisdiction. On September 18, the Clerk of the Court mailed certified copies of the remand order and docket entries to the Superior Court of Alameda County.

The Court has since received several filings from pro se defendant Deborah Matthews (Dkt. Nos. 20, 21, 23, 24). As explained in this Court's prior notice, once a district court certifies a remand order to state court, the district court is divested of jurisdiction and can take no further action on the case. *Seedman v. U.S. Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of California*, 837 F.2d 413, 414 (9th Cir. 1988). "A remand order returns the case to the state courts and the federal court has no power to retrieve it." *Ibid.* 

Defendant should proceed in state court to litigate the merits of her case and is requested to discontinue filing papers with this Court.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 6, 2012.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE